1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Larry A. Hammond, 004049 Anne M. Chapman, 025965 OSBORN MALEDON, P.A. 2929 N. Central Avenue, 21st Floor Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793 (602) 640-9000 lhammond@omlaw.com achapman@omlaw.com  John M. Sears, 005617 P.O. Box 4080 Prescott, Arizona 86302 (928) 778-5208 John.Sears@azbar.org  Attorneys for Defendant	2009 DEC 22 PM 2: 19 Y
10	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA	
12	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF YAVAPAI	
13	STATE OF ARIZONA,	) No. P1300CR20081339
14	Plaintiff,	) Div. 6
15	vs.	) ) DEFENDANT'S MOTION IN
16	STEVEN CARROLL DEMOCKER,	LIMINE RE HUANTE AND BROWN RECONSTRUCTIONS
17	Defendant.	) (Oral Argument and Evidentiary
18		Hearing Requested)
19		}
20	Defendant Steven DeMocker, by and through his counsel, hereby moves this	
21	Court for an Order in limine precluding the introduction of any and all evidence relating	
22	to attempts by Detectives Huante and Brown to re-create the bicycle ride that Defendant	
23	told them he took on July 2, 2008. This motion is supported by the following	
24	Memorandum of Points and Authorities.	
25		
26		
27		
28		
	I	

## **ARGUMENT**

Both Deetctive Brown and Detective Huante have attempted to demonstrate and re-create the bicycle ride that Mr. DeMocker took on the night in question. A video was made and disclosed of Detective Huante riding a bicycle on trails near Granite Mountain, and Detective Brown hiked on some of those same trails while mapping his course using a hand-held GPS device. Both of them wrote reports about their efforts.

It has long been the law in Arizona that such demonstrations or experiments must be performed under "substantially the same conditions" as existed during the original act. *State v. Polan*, 78 Ariz. 253, 278 P.2d 432 (1954). Here, Mr. DeMocker described to both Brown and Huante during a lengthy, un-counseled interview conducted in the early morning hours of July 3, 2008, in great detail exactly where he parked his car and where he rode his bicycle the evening before. Unfortunately, neither detective was familiar with the area, and never bothered to carefully review his account before setting out to reconstruct his ride. To the extent the State now wants to offer any evidence of these two related events, presumably to contradict something about Mr. DeMocker's version, the fact that they were conducted under totally different circumstances as to location, duration, what route was traveled, and through the use of different equipment and even different means of travel (riding and walking) make their use inappropriate and impermissible. Allowing the State to introduce either or both of these demonstrations would only serve to confue and mislead the jury into thinking that they accurately portrayed the ride Mr. DeMocker actually took that evening.

<sup>1</sup> In fact, Mr. DeMocker even drew them a map of his actual route, which they photographed.

CONCLUSION

- 1	
	Defendant Steven DeMocker requests an Order in limine precluding the
	introduction of any and all evidence relating to attempts by Detectives Huante and
	Brown to re-create the bicycle ride that Defendant told them he took on July 2, 2008.
	DATED this 22d day of December, 2009.
	By: John M. Sears
	P.O. Box 4080
	Prescott, Arizona 86302
	OSBORN MALEDON, P.A. Larry A. Hammond Anne M. Chapman 2929 N. Central Avenue, Suite 2100 Phoenix, Arizona 85012-2793
	Attorneys for Defendant
	ORIGINAL of the foregoing filed this 20 day of December, 2009, with:  Jeanne Hicks Clerk of the Court Yavapai County Superior Court 120 S. Cortez Prescott, AZ 86303  COPIES of the foregoing delivered this 20 day of December, 2009, to:  The Hon. Thomas B. Lindberg Judge of the Superior Court Division Six 120 S. Cortez Prescott, AZ 86303  Joseph C. Butner, Esq. Yavapai County Attorney Prescott courthouse basket